

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/711,594 | 09/28/2004 | Casey Douglas Parks | 040801 | 5593 | | |
| 35501 75 | 590 07/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| LAFKAS PATENT LLC 7811 LAUREL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45243 | | | WONG, STEVEN B | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Circinati, | | | 3711 | | | |
| • | | • | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/711,594 | PARKS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Steven Wong | 3711 | | |

| | • | Steven Wong | | 3711 | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| _ | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cove | r sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE R | EPLY FILED 10 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | | | - | |
| 1. X T tl p a | he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or only his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods: | the same day as wing replies: (1) a stice of Appeal (w | s filing a Notice of in amendment, af ith appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) [2 b) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | Advisory Action, or (ater than SIX MON | (2) the date set forth THS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| . | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | |
| have be under 3 set forth may rec | ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later luce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL | tension and the cor shortened statutory r than three months | responding amount period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. | he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 | CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| | <u>DMENTS</u> | | | | |
| () () | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further cob \bigcirc They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) \boxtimes They are not deemed to place the application in between the specification in the specifica | nsideration and/o w); | or search (see NO | TE below); | |
| | appeal; and/or | | | | the issues to |
| ((| d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | • | jected claims. | |
| 4. 🗍 | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | ` '' | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | 1101100 01 11011 01 | simplication at the state of th | · |
| 6. 🔲 1 n | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submit | | | _ |
| h T C | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed: | ⊠ will not be en vided below or ap | tered, or b) 🔲 with pended. | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| | claim(s) objected to: claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 16-19</u> . | | | | |
| C | laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| | AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| w b | he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reaso | ns why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| е | he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. | overcome <u>all</u> rejec | ctions under appe | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of | the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT plac | e the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or P | TO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Steven Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3711 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment attempts to associate the tee cap with a golf tee. This amendment would require further consideration by the examiner.